arXiv:1606.04611v2 [math.NT] 18 Jun 2016

A Note on Plans's Paper of Noether's Problem

Ming-chang Kang

Department of Mathematics
National Taiwan University
Taipei, Taiwan
E-mail: kang@math.ntu.edu.tw

Abstract. Let p be a prime number and ζ_p be a primitive p-th root of unity in $\mathbb{C}$. Let k be a field and $k(x_0,\dots,x_{p-1})$ be the rational function field of p variables over k. Suppose that $G=\langle\sigma\rangle\simeq C_p$ acts on $k(x_0,\dots,x_{p-1})$ by k-automorphisms defined as $\sigma: x_0\mapsto x_1\mapsto\cdots\mapsto x_{p-1}\mapsto x_0$. Denote by P the set of all prime numbers and define $P_0=\{p\in P:\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1})$ is of class number one$\}$. Theorem. If k is an algebraic number field and $p\in P\backslash(P_0\cup P_k)$, then $k(x_0,\dots,x_{p-1})^G$ is not stably rational over k where $P_k=\{p\in P: p$ is ramified in $k\}$.

§1. Introduction

Let p be a prime number, K be a field, $K(x_0,\dots,x_{p-1})$ be the rational function field of p variables over K. Let $G=\langle\sigma\rangle\simeq C_p$ be the cyclic group of order p. Suppose that G acts on $K(x_0,\dots,x_{p-1})$ by K-automorphisms defined as

$$\sigma: x_0\mapsto x_1\mapsto\cdots\mapsto x_{p-1}\mapsto x_0.$$

Define the fixed field $K(x_0,\dots,x_{p-1})^G=\{f\in K(x_0,\dots,x_{p-1}):\sigma\cdot f=f\}$. The Noether's problem studied in [Pl] is to investigate that, for which prime number p, the fixed field $\mathbb{Q}(x_0,\dots,x_{p-1})^G$ is rational (= purely transcendental) over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Denote by ζ_n a primitive n-th root of unity in $\mathbb{C}$ where n is any positive integer. Let P be the set of all prime numbers. Define $P_0=\{p\in P:\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p-1})$ is of class number

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 12F10, 13A50, 14E08.
Keywords and phrases. Noether's problem, rationality problem, unramified primes.

one}. It is known that $P_0 = \{p \in P : p \leq 43\} \cup \{61, 67, 71\}$ by [MM]. Lenstra shows that the set of prime numbers p such that $\mathbb{Q}(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ is rational is of Dirichlet density zero [Le, Corollary 7.6]. The main result of Plans's paper [Pl] is the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Plans [Pl, Theorem 1.1]) *Let p be a prime number. Then $\mathbb{Q}(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ is rational over $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $p \in P_0$.*

The purpose of this note is to study the situation when the base field is an algebraic number field.

Let k be an algebraic number field and A be the ring of algebraic integers in k, A rational prime p is unramified in k if the ideal pA is a finite product $\mathcal{P}_1 \cdots \mathcal{P}_d$ where $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_d$ are distinct prime ideals in A. A prime number p is called ramified in k if it is not unramified. Define $P_k = \{p \in P : p \text{ is ramified in } k\}$. If d_k denotes the discriminant of k, then $P_k = \{p \in P : p \mid d_k\}$; thus P_k is a finite set.

The main result of this note is the following.

Theorem 1.2 *Let k be an algebraic number field. If p is a prime number and $p \in P \backslash (P_0 \cup P_k)$, then $k(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ is not rational (resp. not stably rational) over k.*

We remark that, if $p \in P_0$, then $k(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ is rational because $k(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G = k(y_0, \ldots, y_{p-1})$ whenever $\mathbb{Q}(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G = \mathbb{Q}(y_0, \ldots, y_{p-1})$. Also note that, $k(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ is rational if and only if $k(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ is stably rational by [Le, Proposition 5.6].

Theorem 1.2 can be generalized furthermore.

Theorem 1.3 *Let K be a field such that* $\operatorname{char} K = 0$ *and K is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then there is a finite subset P' of P satisfying the property that, for all prime numbers $p \in P \backslash (P_0 \cup P')$, $K(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ is not rational (resp. not stably rational) over K.*

The proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 will be given in Section 2.

§2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let π be a finite group. We recall the definition of π-lattices.

Definition 2.1 Let π be a finite group. A finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module M is called a π-lattice if M is a free abelian group when it is regarded as an abelian group.

If M is a π-lattice and L is a field with π-action, we will associate a rational function field over L with π-action as follows. Suppose that $M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathbb{Z} \cdot u_i$. Define $L(M) = L(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$, a rational function field of m variables over L. For any $\sigma \in \pi$, if $\sigma \cdot u_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} a_{ij} u_j$ in M (where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$), we define $\sigma \cdot x_i = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} x_j^{a_{ij}}$ in $L(M)$ and, for any $\alpha \in L$, define $\sigma \cdot \alpha$ by the prescribed π-action on L.

Definition 2.2 Let π be a finite group and M be a π-lattice. M is called a permutation lattice if M has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis permuted by π. A π-lattice M is called an invertible lattice if it is a direct summand of some permutation lattice. A π-lattice M is called a flabby lattice if $H^{-1}(\pi', M) = 0$ for all subgroup π' of π; it is called a coflabby lattice if $H^1(\pi', M) = 0$ for all subgroups π' of π. For the basic properties of π-lattices, see [CTS; Sw].

Definition 2.3 Let π be a finite group. Two π-lattices M_1 and M_2 are called similar, denoted by $M_1 \sim M_2$, if $M_1 \oplus Q_1 \simeq M_2 \oplus Q_2$ for some permutation lattices Q_1 and Q_2. The flabby class monoid F_π consists of all the similarity classes of flabby π-lattices under the addition described below. Explicitly, if M is a flabby π-lattice, then $[M] \in F_\pi$ denotes the similarity class containing M; the addition in F_π is defined as: $[M_1] + [M_2] = [M_1 \oplus M_2]$. Note that $[M] = 0$ in F_π, i.e. $[M]$ is the zero element in F_π, if and only if $M \oplus Q$ is isomorphic to a permutation lattice where Q is some permutation lattice. See [Sw] for details.

Definition 2.4 Let π be a finite group, M be a π-lattice. The M have a flabby resolution, i.e. there is an exact sequence of π-lattices: $0 \to M \to Q \to E \to 0$ where Q is a permutation lattice and E is a flabby lattice [EM2, Lemma 1.1; CTS; Sw].

Although the above flabby resolution is not unique, the class $[E] \in F_\pi$ is uniquely determined by M. Thus we define the flabby class of M, denoted as $[M]^{fl}$, by $[M]^{fl} = [E] \in F_\pi$ (see [Sw]). Sometimes we say that $[M]^{fl}$ is permutation or invertible if the class $[E]$ contains a permutation lattice or an invertible lattice.

Theorem 2.5 *Let L/K be a finite Galois extension with $\pi = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. Let M be a π-lattice.*

(1) ([EM1, Theorem 1.6; Vo; Le, Theorem 1.7; CTS]) *The fixed field $L(M)^\pi$ is stably rational over K if and only if $[M]^{fl} = 0$ in F_π.*

(2) ([Sa, Theorem 3.14]) *Assume that K is an infinite field. Then the fixed field $L(M)^\pi$ is retract rational over K if and only if $[M]^{fl}$ is invertible.*

Remark. Note that, "rational" $\Rightarrow$ "stably rational" $\Rightarrow$ "retract rational"$\Rightarrow$ "unirational". For the definition of retract rationality, see [Sa]. The fixed field $L(M)^\pi$ is the function field of the algebraic torus T defined over K, split by L and with character module M (see [Vo; Sw]).

Lemma 2.6 *Let k be an algebraic number field, P_k be the finite subset of P defined in Section 1. If $p \in P \backslash P_k$, then $[k(\zeta_p) : k] = p - 1$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(k(\zeta_p)/k) \simeq C_{p-1}$.*

Proof. Let $f(X) = \Phi_p(X) = X^{p-1} + \cdots + X + 1 \in k[X]$. We will show that $f(X)$ is irreducible in $k[X]$.

Let A be the ring of algebraic integers in k and $pA = \mathcal{P}_1 \cdots \mathcal{P}_d$ where $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_d$ are distinct prime ideals (because p is unramified in k).

Write $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1$ and consider the localization $A_{\mathcal{P}}$. Note that $A_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a DVR whose maximal ideal is generated by some prime element $v \in \mathcal{P}A_{\mathcal{P}}$. From $pA = \mathcal{P}_1 \cdots \mathcal{P}_d$, we get $p = \varepsilon v$ where ε is a unit in $A_{\mathcal{P}}$.

Now we begin to prove that $f(X)$ is irreducible in $k[X]$. Note that $f(X)$ is irreducible in $k[X]$ if and only if so is $f(X+1)$. It is easy to see that $f(X+1) = X^{p-1} + \sum_{1 \le i \le p-1} a_i X^{p-i-1}$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_{p-1} = p$ and $p \mid a_i$ for $1 \le i \le p-1$. Regard $f(X+1)$ as a polynomial in $A_{\mathcal{P}}[X]$ and apply Eisenstein's irreducibility criterion. It follows that $f(X+1)$ is irreducible in $A_{\mathcal{P}}[X]$. In particular, it is irreducible in $k[X]$. ■

We may generalize Lemma 2.6 as follows.

Lemma 2.7 *Let K be a field such that* $\operatorname{char} K = 0$ *and K is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then there is a finite subset P' of P satisfying the property that, for all prime numbers $p \in P \backslash P'$, we have $[K(\zeta_p) : K] = p - 1$ and* $\operatorname{Gal}(K(\zeta_p)/K) \simeq C_{p-1}$.

Proof. Step 1. As before, we will show that $\Phi_p(X)$ is irreducible in $K[X]$ where $\Phi_p(X) = X^{p-1} + \cdots + X + 1 \in K[X]$.

Let k be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in K. Then k is an algebraic number field. Choose a transcendence basis $t_1, \ldots, t_m$ of K over k. Thus $k(t_1, \ldots, t_m)$ is rational over k and K is a finite extension of $k(t_1, \ldots, t_m)$.

For simplicity, we consider the case $m = 1$ (the general case can be proved similarly). From now on, we consider the field extensions $\mathbb{Q} \subset k \subset k(t) \subset K$.

Let A be the ring of algebraic integers in k.

Define S to be the multiplicatively closed subset of the polynomial ring $A[t]$ consisting of all the monic polynomials. Define $B = S^{-1}A[t]$, the localization of $A[t]$ by S. From Seidenberg's theory, we find that B is of Krull dimension one (see [Ka, page 26, Theorem 39]). Thus B is a Dedekind domain.

Define C to be the integral closure of B in K. Then C is also a Dedekind domain. Note that there are only finitely many prime ideals $\mathcal{Q}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}_t$ in B such that $\mathcal{Q}_j$ are ramified in C (see the last paragraph of [ZS, page 306]).

Step 2. Let P_k be the same subset of P defined in Lemma 2.6 for the algebraic number field k. For any $p \in P \setminus P_k$, p is unramified in A. Thus p is also unramified in B. Now we enlarge P_k to a finite subset P' of P by adding all the prime numbers p such that $p\mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{Q}_j \cap \mathbb{Z}$ where $\mathcal{Q}_j$ belongs to those prime ideals ramified in C (see Step 1).

It is not difficult to see that, if $p \in P \setminus P'$, then p is unramified in C. For such prime number p, the polynomial $\Phi_p(X)$ is irreducible in $K[X]$ because the proof is the same as in Lemma 2.6. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Step 1. Let p be a prime number, K be a field satisfying that $\operatorname{char} K = 0$ and $[K(\zeta_p) : K] = p - 1$. Consider the action of $G = \langle \sigma \rangle \simeq C_p$ on the rational function field $K(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1})$ by

$$\sigma : x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto x_0.$$

Define $\pi = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_p)/K) = \langle\tau\rangle \simeq C_{p-1}$ where $\tau \cdot \zeta_p = \zeta_p^t$, $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times = \langle\bar{t}\rangle$ with $t^{p-1} = 1 + ps$ (it is required that $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$).

Define $y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{p-1} \in K(\zeta_p)(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})$ by

$$y_i = \sum_{0 \le j \le p-1} \zeta_p^{-ij} x_j.$$

Extend the actions of σ and τ to $K(\zeta_p)(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})$ by requiring that $\sigma \cdot \zeta_p = \zeta_p$, $\tau \cdot x_i = x_i$ for $0 \le i \le p-1$. It follows that

$$\sigma \cdot y_i = \zeta_p^i y_i, \quad \tau \cdot y_i = y_{it}$$

for $0 \le i \le p-1$ where the indices of y_i are taken modulo p. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^{\langle\sigma\rangle} &= \{K(\zeta_p)(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^{\langle\tau\rangle}\}^{\langle\sigma\rangle} \\ &= K(\zeta_p)(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle} \\ &= K(\zeta_p)(y_0, \ldots, y_{p-1})^{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle} \\ &= \{K(\zeta_p)(y_0, \ldots, y_{p-1})^{\langle\sigma\rangle}\}^{\langle\tau\rangle} \\ &= K(\zeta_p)(z_1, \ldots, z_{p-1})(y_0)^{\langle\tau\rangle} \end{aligned}$$

where $z_1 = y_t/y_1^t$, $z_2 = y_{t^2}/y_t^t$, ..., $z_{p-2} = y_{t^{p-2}}/(y_{t^{p-3}})^t$, $z_{p-1} = y_1^p$ (with the indices of y_i being taken modulo p). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau : \zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^t,\ z_1 \mapsto z_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{p-2} \mapsto (z_1^{t^{p-2}} z_2^{t^{p-3}} \cdots z_{p-3}^{t^2} z_{p-2}^t z_{p-1}^s)^{-1}, \\ &\quad z_{p-1} \mapsto z_1^p z_{p-1}^t,\ y_0 \mapsto y_0 \end{aligned}$$

and recall that $t^{p-1} = 1 + ps$ defined before.

It follows that $K(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G = K(\zeta_p)(M)^\pi(y_0)$ where M is the π-lattice defined by $M = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le p-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot w_i$ and $\tau : w_1 \mapsto w_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{p-2} \mapsto -(t^{p-2} \cdot w_1 + t^{p-3} \cdot w_2 + \cdots + t^2 \cdot w_{p-3} + t \cdot w_{p-2} + s \cdot w_{p-1})$, $w_{p-1} \mapsto p \cdot w_1 + t \cdot w_{p-1}$.

Apply Theorem 2.5. We conclude that $K(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ is stably rational over K if and only if $[M]^{fl} = 0$ in F_π.

Step 2. Now consider the case where k is an algebraic number field and $p \in P \backslash (P_0 \cup P_k)$. We will show that $k(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ is not stably rational over k.

Since $p \notin P_k$, by Lemma 2.6, we find that $\mathrm{Gal}(k(\zeta_p)/k) \simeq C_{p-1}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$. Call this group π.

Apply Step 1. We find that we arrive at the same π-lattice M for $k(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ and $\mathbb{Q}(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$.

From Theorem 1.1, $\mathbb{Q}(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G$ is not rational. Thus it is not stably rational over $\mathbb{Q}$ by [Le, Proposition 5.6]. It follows that $[M]^{fl} \neq 0$ in F_π by the conclusion of Step 1.

Apply Theorem 2.5 to $k(\zeta_p)(M)^\pi$ and use the above result that $[M]^{fl} \neq 0$. We find that $k(x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})^G = k(\zeta_p)(M)^\pi$ is not stably rational over k. ■

Remark. Because π is a cyclic group, any flabby π-lattice is invertible by Endo and Miyata [EM2, Theorem 1.5]. Applying Theorem 2.5, we find that $K(\zeta_p)(M)^\pi$ is retract rational over K in Step 1. More generally, it can be shown that $K(x_0, \dots, x_{p-1})^G$ is retract rational over K for any field K and for any prime number p. The proof is omitted.

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

The proof is almost the same as that of Theorem 1.2, except that we apply Lemma 2.7 this time. ■

References

[CTS] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *La R-équivalence sur les tores*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 10 (1977), 175–229.

[EM1] S. Endo and T. Miyata, *Invariants of finite abelian groups*, Journal Math. Soc. Japan 25 (1973), 7–26.

[EM2] S. Endo and T. Miyata, *On a classification of the function fields of algebraic tori*, Nagoya Math. J. 56 (1975), 85–104.

[Ka] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings, revised edition*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.

[Le] H. W. Lenstra Jr., *Rational functions invariant under a finite abelian group*, Invent. math. 25 (1974), 299–325.

[MM] J. M. Masley and H. L. Montgomery, *Cyclotomic fields with unique factorization*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 286/287 (1976), 248–256.

[Pl] B. Plans, *On Noether's rationality problem for cyclic groups over* $\mathbb{Q}$, arXiv: 1605.09228.

[Sa] D. J. Saltman, *Retract rational fields and cyclic Galois extensions*, Israel J. Math. 47 (1984), 165–215.

[Sw] R. G. Swan, *Noether's problem in Galois theory*, in "Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr", edited by B. Srinivasan and J. Sally, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, pp. 21–40.

[Vo] V. E. Voskresenskii, *Algebraic groups and their birational invariants*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. of Math. Monographs, vol. 179, Providence, 1998.

[ZS] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra, vol. 1*, Van Nostrand, 1958; reprinted by Springer-Verlag, 1975, Berlin.